



US009251263B2

(12) **United States Patent**
Bottum et al.

(10) **Patent No.:** **US 9,251,263 B2**
(45) **Date of Patent:** ***Feb. 2, 2016**

(54) **SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR GRAPHICAL SEARCH INTERFACE**

(56) **References Cited**

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

(71) Applicant: **Swoop Search, LLC**, Stillwater, MN (US)

8,001,152 B1 * 8/2011 Solan G06F 17/2785
707/791

(72) Inventors: **Quinn Colton Bottum**, Stillwater, MN (US); **Michael Christopher Bottum**, East Troy, WI (US); **Paul William Bottum**, Stillwater, MN (US)

8,275,446 B2 9/2012 Vining et al.
8,363,972 B1 1/2013 Silver et al.
8,429,153 B2 4/2013 Birdwell et al.
2004/0019588 A1 * 1/2004 Doganata G06F 17/3064
2004/0229290 A1 11/2004 Hellinga et al.
2005/0055341 A1 3/2005 Haahr et al.
2006/0204107 A1 9/2006 Dugan et al.
2006/0235841 A1 10/2006 Betz et al.

(73) Assignee: **Swoop Search, LLC**, Stillwater, MN (US)

(Continued)

(*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.

This patent is subject to a terminal disclaimer.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Christopher D. Manning et al., "Introduction to Information Retrieval," 2007, Ch. 19, <http://nlp.stanford.edu/IR-book/pdf/19web.pdf>.

(Continued)

(21) Appl. No.: **14/476,157**

(22) Filed: **Sep. 3, 2014**

Primary Examiner — Shyue Jiunn Hwa

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm — Bookoff McAndrews, PLLC

(65) **Prior Publication Data**

US 2014/0379684 A1 Dec. 25, 2014

Related U.S. Application Data

(63) Continuation of application No. 14/179,330, filed on Feb. 12, 2014, now Pat. No. 8,856,142, which is a continuation of application No. 13/346,730, filed on Jan. 10, 2012, now Pat. No. 8,694,513.

(51) **Int. Cl.**

G06F 17/30 (2006.01)

G06F 3/0484 (2013.01)

(52) **U.S. Cl.**

CPC **G06F 17/30864** (2013.01); **G06F 3/0484** (2013.01); **G06F 17/30867** (2013.01)

(58) **Field of Classification Search**


CPC combination set(s) only.

See application file for complete search history.

(57) **ABSTRACT**

Some embodiments of the present disclosure provide a graphical user interface as a means of inputting search parameters to database search engines. In some embodiments, two or three dimensional projections spatially represent relationships between search parameters, located along the periphery of the projections and search hits whose significance are represented by position relative to the center of the projection and comparative distance from each of the search parameters. As the user manipulates the overall shape of the search projection, the weighting of search parameters adjusts, reconfiguring the search. The present disclosure also provides, in some embodiments, an intuitive means of assimilating search parameter weightings based on peer or social network preferences with global search results.

18 Claims, 7 Drawing Sheets



(56)

References Cited

2013/0103680 A1 4/2013 Arrasvuori

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

2007/0179946 A1 8/2007 Wissner-Gross
2008/0077570 A1* 3/2008 Tang G06F 17/30684
2008/0177735 A1* 7/2008 Garbow G06F 17/30398
2008/0243774 A1* 10/2008 Jaspers G06F 17/3079
2009/0006356 A1 1/2009 Liao et al.
2009/0254537 A1 10/2009 Yoshio et al.
2011/0029514 A1 2/2011 Kerschberg et al.
2011/0258184 A1 10/2011 Liao et al.

OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Mona Taghavi et al., "An analysis of web proxy logs with query distribution pattern approach for search engines," 2011, 34. Elsevier. pp. 162-170.
http://datamining.typepad.com/data__mining/2011/08/how-hard-is-the-local-search-problem.html; Aug. 2011.

* cited by examiner



[Globe] [Flag] [Language] [Search]		[Summer Vacation]	
Google		SUMMER VACATION	
SEARCH		ABOUT 35,000 RESULTS IN .35 SECONDS	
EVERYTHING IMAGES MAPS VIDEOS NEWS SHOPPING BLOGS MORE	AD - WHY THIS AD? ALL INCLUSIVE VACATIONS 1 (877) 856.7152 WWW.ALLINCLUSIVE.COM☆☆☆☆☆ AFTER REVIEWS ALL INCLUSIVE RESORTS FROM \$12. BOOK NOW WITH CHEAP CARIBBEAN SUMMER VACATION - WIKIPEDIA THE FREE ENCYCLOPEDIA WWW.WIKIPEDIA.COM/SUMMER-VACATION 12 BEST SUMMER VACATIONS US NEWS TRAVEL WWW.USNEWS.COM/SUMMER-VACATION SEE WICH PLACES OUR READERS LIKE THE BEST AND VOTE FOR YOUR FAVORITES IMAGES FOR SUMMER VACATION - REPORT IMAGES	ADS - WHY THESE ADS? FAMILY VACATION PACKAGES LUXURY INCLUDED VACATIONS SAVE UP TO 65% GET FREE NIGHTS BOOK NOW SUMMER VACATIONS. FIND ALL INCLUSIVE FAMILY VACATION IDEAS & RESORTS AT EXPEDIA.COM JETBLUE GETAWAYS BEST HOTEL + FLIGHT PACKAGES FROM 1/14 BOOK NOW-SALE ENDS CRUISE VACATIONS-75% OFF DAILY SPECIALS- LAST MINUTE CRUISES - ALL CRUISES DISCOUNTED UP TO 75% ALL INCLUSIVE FAMILY VACATIONS 60% OFF - ALL INCLUSIVE VACATIONS COMPARE FAMILY VACATION & SAVE BIG!	
STILLWATER,MN CHANGE LOCATION ANY TIME PAST HOUR PAST 24 HOURS PAST 2 DAYS PAST WEEK PAST MONTH PAST YEAR CUSTOM RANGE	SUMMER TRAVEL - SUMMER VACATIONS, DEALS & TRIPS-YAHOO TRAVEL WWW.YAHOO!TRAVEL.COM/SUMMER-VACATION FIND THE BEST SUMMER VACATION DESTINATIONS, AND RESEARCH THE BEST DEALS AND TRIP IDEAS FOR YOUR SUMMER TRAVEL SUMMER VACATION IDEAS & TRIP IDEAS-TOP 10 LIST SHERMAN'S TRAVEL		
ALL RESULTS SITES WITH IMAGES			

FIG. 1






Figure 3. Representation of Hawkeye output resulting from a search for summer vacations




Figure 4. Hawkeye output reconfigured to reflect the elimination of parameters by user.

Confidential

Hawkeye Patent Application

Confidential




Figure 5. Hawkeye output reconfigured to reflect the greater weighting of vacation deals and South America.

Confidential

Hawkeye Patent Application

Confidential




Figure 6. Hawkeye output displayed in conjunction with social media applied to the search, with the binocular symbol indicating the social media profile is being applied, and the search is being done through a 'search within' mode.

Confidential

Hawkeye Patent Application

Confidential




Figure 7. Hawkeye output reconfigured to display the search being done in conjunction with the social media profile applied, in the 'search beyond' mode, as the social media profile becomes an additional parameter.

1

SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR GRAPHICAL SEARCH INTERFACE

CLAIM OF PRIORITY

This utility application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 14/179,330, filed Feb. 12, 2014, which is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 13/346,730, filed Jan. 10, 2012, now U.S. Pat. No. 8,694,513, issued Apr. 8, 2014, each of which is herein incorporated by reference in its entirety.

FIELD OF THE INVENTION

The present disclosure is directed towards providing an intuitive means of representing and manipulating the weightings of search parameters employed by database search algorithms.

BACKGROUND

That we are awash in information is an often used, though certainly correct axiom of the early 21st century. The ubiquity of information access portals in everyday life provides the potential for connecting meaning to any experience or data set. Potential meaning is the key tenant here. How information sets are evaluated sorted and searched, remains the ultimate determinant of their actual value to the user.

Information in this modern sense may be considered as a two component entity; the first being the actual data it embodies, the second being its accessibility, based on identifying tags, anchors, or fields.

The exponential increases in both data production and storage capabilities have matched, not surprisingly, very well since “Moore’s Law” tales have circulated through computational communities. Data search technologies, how information is sorted and accessed, however, have experienced a much more varied history. Though the success of market leaders’ search algorithms, such as Google’s “page-rank,” belie their effectiveness, the increasing volume and complexity of modern information structure has lead to increased user dissatisfaction and frustration. Three significant points of current search algorithm dissatisfaction are search output discrepancies, search output bias, and an incongruous match of search interface with brain heuristic functioning.

Search output discrepancies may result from a circumstance referred to as the “local search problem.” This problem arises when global data sets containing extrinsic information are not consistently cross checked or “curated” with local data sets containing intrinsic information. For example, a search for vacation destinations may yield inconsistent output if not updated with local information such as prices, business hours and patron ratings.

Search output bias may result primarily from two causes; either because of discontinuities in search parameters weightings between the user, and the search algorithm, or because of misguiding parameter weightings through “search engine optimization” practices. In either case, miscommunication or lack of clear communication between user input and search algorithm programming may skew output away from the user’s intentions.

As search engine and social networking leaders battle the concept of truth and validity on the internet, a potential problem looms for society as a whole. The popularity of social networking sites has made searching within peer preference databases very effective and appealing. A search conducted within a social network database consisting of peers with similar preferences (intrinsic data) is highly likely to produce

2

user preferable results. Such a behavior, however, limits variation by culling preference outliers. As in biology, any system lacking diversity, while successful within its native context, is resistant to change, slow to adapt, and quickly expends its resources.

Finally, search is a process to learn. Psychological studies of our mind’s processing methods maintain that we interpret and organize stimuli based on heuristic schemas. These heuristic schemas contain impressions and rules of thumb that are based on our collective experiences. Commonly statistical methodologies are utilized to explain the occurrence of an activity(s), a decision(s), or a behavior(s). A common form of explanation through statistical measurement is through the use of multivariate mathematical modeling. Within the mathematical models it is very common to have 2-4 variables that explain the vast majority of the phenomenon under investigation. When we form opinions or judgments, we commonly utilize a couple of variables that form the heuristic schema that guide our decision making for a given decision topic.

To better match the processing methodology of our brain, an enhanced method and interface of search will enable a quicker, more sensitive, and more exhaustive search process. Currently, search is guided by a serial step by step process. Each step produces a list of results based on one dimension or variable guiding the search command interface. In order to match our minds’ organized discovery process and experiential store of knowledge, the search interface could allow the simultaneous expression of multi-dimensional discovery or reasoning. The search process could be expressed as a coordinate within an area that is bound by the multi-dimensional vectors that represent the most important characteristics that involve the topic under investigation. Each vector is a mathematical expression denoting a combination of magnitude and direction. Based on the number of variables that are utilized to characterize the topic under investigation, the area of intersection between the vectors can range from uni-dimensional vector reflection to a multi-dimensional area of expression. We can use a coordinate within the range or area of expression to strengthen or reduce the importance of a variable under investigation. This toggling of coordinate placement allows the search process to maintain a view that simultaneously engages the critical characteristics that govern an intended inquiry.

Through this process the view of search will more closely match the heuristic management of new stimuli. It allows a more graphical representation of the multi-dimensional decision making process. It should speed the search process by maintaining a multi-dimensional view of the critical characteristics that are intended to guide the search. It may also enable a more exhaustive search due to the graphical sensitivity.

There exists an apparent need for an interface between user and search algorithms which would allow the joining of discontinuous data sets, an intuitive means of user awareness and manipulation of search parameter weightings, as well as an effective means of searching across intrinsic and extrinsic data sets.

BRIEF SUMMARY

The present disclosure provides a graphical interface between user and a database search algorithm or search engine. The interface provides the user an intuitive visualization of search parameter weighting hierarchy, as well as a means to manually reconfigure the weighting hierarchy.

The graphical interface symbolically projects the parameter weighting hierarchy as a two or three dimensional

3

“search space,” whose center represents optimal search output. The shape of the “search space” is found to have ‘n’ vertices representing the ‘n’ parameters employed in the search. The relative distances of parameter vertices to the space center represent the relative weightings or importance of each parameter in the overall search.

As a search is initiated, vertices are determined and populated either through search engine suggestions, default settings, or user definitions. Initially, all parameters are weighted equally, represented as a radially symmetric shape about the optimal search output.

The interface presents the user the capability of reconfiguring the search by dragging individual parameters toward (increasing weight), away from (decreasing weight), or completely away from (eliminating parameter) the shape center. As the shape is manipulated in this fashion, optimal search results are updated in real time.

Finally, the interface allows the user to simultaneously examine multiple data sets, searching for intersection by joining parameters common to each set, or union by joining “search spaces” of each set.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 depicts one example of a typical search engine output;

FIG. 2 depicts one example of a typical search engine related search suggestions output;

FIG. 3 depicts an exemplary “search space” two-dimensional projection with vertices populated with search suggestion output, consistent with the presently disclosed graphical search interface;

FIG. 4 depicts an exemplary reconfigured graphical “search space” projection, consistent with the presently disclosed graphical search interface;

FIG. 5 depicts an exemplary “search space” projection weighting, e.g., vacation deals in South America, consistent with the presently disclosed graphical search interface;

FIG. 6 depicts an exemplary “search space” projection for, e.g., summer vacation with social network profile applied in ‘search within’ mode, consistent with the presently disclosed graphical search interface; and

FIG. 7 depicts an exemplary “search space” projection for, e.g., summer vacation with social network profile applied in ‘search beyond’ mode, consistent with the presently disclosed graphical search interface.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

It should be noted from the outset that the graphical user interface consistent with the present disclosure, and as explained in this description, may be employed with any database search algorithm or search engine capable of examining multiple search parameters in determining optimal match output.

The capability of the presently disclosed graphical search interface of visualizing and reorienting search engine output as specified by the user’s goals, preferences, and needs can be illustrated through using a search for ‘summer vacations’ as an example.

If the user was to enter the search parameter ‘summer vacation’ into Google’s search engine, the output would be similar to the screen shot displayed in FIG. 1.

This output presents two issues that the invention addresses; one, it is impersonal in the sense that it is a generic output that does not adequately address the users goals, preferences, or needs, two, it displays the output in a list of

4

hyperlinks potentially requiring the user to scan pages of information. In addition to these problems, the related searches that Google produces at the bottom of the initial search output page are numerous and call for extensive cross referencing of search outputs in order to determine optimal results (FIG. 2).

The presently disclosed graphical search interface would provide the following graphical output immediately after the initial search parameter was entered as represented in FIG. 3. This initial graphical output projects a radially symmetric search space shape whose vertices are populated with the initial search parameter along with all related searches that are generated (FIG. 3). Each vertex, then represents a search parameter. The maximum and minimum number of search parameters may be default or user defined. Contained within the search space shape is a matrix of hyperlinks related to all parameters. A given hyperlink’s coordinates inside the search space are determined by its relevance to the search parameters. Nearer proximity represents higher relevance between hyperlink and search parameter. The middle region of the search space represents search output generated by equally weighting all search space parameters. Thus the center of the search space represents an optimal hit subset, most equally relevant to all search parameters—labeled ‘A’ in FIG. 3.

In order to view a hyperlink contained within the graphic, the user simply highlights the point on the graphic that is in tune with his/her goals, preferences, and needs, and the interface will display a list of hyperlinks common to that search region. If the initial search space output does match the user’s intentions, the interface presents the user the capability of reconfiguring the search by dragging individual parameters toward (increasing weight), away from (decreasing weight), or completely away from (eliminating parameter) the shape center (FIG. 5). As the search space dimensions are reconfigured, its coordinate system is continually repopulated with the updated hyperlink matrix. In this way, the presently disclosed graphical search interface allows for users to intuitively perform a search in unison with their mental criteria for what a successful search will generate.

It should be noted at this point that two parameter cross-referencing search modes exist within the presently disclosed graphical search interface; quick search, and web search. In the quick search mode, only a parameter’s relative radial distance to the center of the search space is compared to the radial distance of other parameters. This mode enables quicker searching since the absolute order of parameters about the search space perimeter does not factor into the overall search. In web search mode, the search weight of a parameter is determined by its radial distance to the search space center, as well as distances to all other search parameters. This mode may require reordering of parameter order, requiring additional interface time, though producing more parameter detailed output.

Additionally, the presently disclosed graphical search interface provides a means for the user to intuitively perform searches across seeming non-compatible data bases. Comparing value preferences of a user’s social network database, loosely considered intrinsic data, with extrinsic data sets, such as lists of films offered through a video streaming website may be performed as follows with the presently disclosed graphical search interface. Suppose the user would wish to conduct a search looking for a film based on how his social network preferences would value each of the search space initial search parameters; say, thriller films, foreign films, and films produced before the year 2000. To engage this ‘search within’ protocol, the user would simply encircle the graphical search space with the user’s social network icon to search for

5

hits within the network preferences (FIG. 6), such as within social network databases such as Facebook and Google+. If, on the other hand, the user wishes to include his/her social network preferences as an additional parameter to the search space, and 'search beyond' profile preferences, he/she would simply drag the social network icon inside of the search space, allowing it to populate along the search space perimeter—currently possible only through performing multiple searches and extensive cross referencing (FIG. 7). In either search, the user's final choice would update his/her value preferences of their network profile. The intricacies of these two search strategies create significant effects on a user's social network profile and, potentially, social behavior. Choices made through the 'search within' protocol, although comfortable to the user, and predictable to the marketer, provide no new social fodder, nothing new is added to the behavioral 'gene pool' of the user. Networks of this type become quickly saturated and stale of market potential. The 'search beyond' strategy provides the appeal of including personal preferences, while potentially introducing new information and diversifying social networks. Diverse social networks are more robust, adaptable to change, and provide a greater range of investment opportunities.

The foregoing description has been presented for purposes of illustration and description. It is not intended to be exhaustive or to limit the invention to the precise form disclosed, and other modifications and variations may be possible in light of the above teachings. The embodiments were chosen and described in order to best explain the principles of the invention and its practical application to thereby enable others skilled in the art to best utilize the invention in various embodiments and various modifications as are suited to the particular use contemplated.

The invention claimed is:

1. A computer-implemented method for an interactive graphical search interface, the method comprising:

receiving, from a user via a computer user interface over an electronic network, a plurality of search parameters;

generating, by a processor, a search space that includes a user element corresponding to each search parameter, wherein a weight of each search parameter depends on a distance of a position of the corresponding user element to a center of the search space and one or more distances of the corresponding user element to positions of one or more other user elements, wherein a position of each user element in the search space corresponds to the weight of the respective search parameter, and wherein the plurality of search parameters have an initial predetermined weights that are equal, such that the search space is a radially symmetric shape about optimal search output;

generating, by the processor by accessing a search engine database, a plurality of search results based on the plurality of search parameters and respective weights of each search parameter;

receiving, from the user via the computer user interface, a new position of at least one user element from an interaction by the user with the at least one user element;

generating, by the processor upon receiving the new position of at least one user element, new weights for the plurality of search parameters based on each new position received; and

generating, by the processor by accessing the search engine database, a plurality of new search results based on the plurality of search parameters and the new weights of each respective search parameter.

6

2. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

generating, by a processor, a matrix of hyperlinks within the search space, each hyperlink having positions within the search space defining a relevance of each hyperlink to the plurality of search parameters.

3. The method of claim 2, wherein each of the hyperlinks in the matrix of hyperlinks has a weighting that increases in a direction from a perimeter of the search space to the center of the search space.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein the search space includes a perimeter having a plurality of vertices, each vertex of the plurality of vertices representing one of the user elements.

5. The method of claim 1, wherein the weight of each search parameter increases as the distance of the position of the corresponding user element to the center of the search space decreases.

6. The method of claim 1, wherein the search space has a center representing an optimal search output.

7. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

enabling the user to simultaneously examine multiple data sets; and

searching for an intersection by joining search parameters common to each data set, by joining search spaces of each data set.

8. The method of claim 1, wherein each search parameter is removable by dragging the user element that corresponds to the search parameter in a direction away from a perimeter of the search space.

9. The method of claim 1, further comprising:

transmitting, by the processor to the computer user interface of the user, the plurality of search results and a graphical interface projecting the search space.

10. A system for an interactive graphical search interface, the system including:

a data storage device that stores instructions for an interactive graphical search interface; and

a processor configured to execute the instructions to perform a method including:

receiving, from a user via a computer user interface over an electronic network, a plurality of search parameters;

generating, by the processor, a search space that includes a user element corresponding to each search parameter, wherein a weight of each search parameter depends on a distance of a position of the corresponding user element to a center of the search space and one or more distances of the corresponding user element to positions of one or more other user elements, wherein a position of each user element in the search space corresponds to the weight of the respective search parameter, and wherein the plurality of search parameters have an initial predetermined weights that are equal, such that the search space is a radially symmetric shape about optimal search output;

generating, by the processor by accessing a search engine database, a plurality of search results based on the plurality of search parameters and respective weights of each search parameter;

receiving, from the user via the computer user interface, a new position of at least one user element from an interaction by the user with the at least one user element;

generating, by the processor upon receiving the new position of at least one user element, new weights for the plurality of search parameters based on each new position received; and

7

generating, by the processor by accessing the search engine database, a plurality of new search results based on the plurality of search parameters and the new weights of each respective search parameter.

11. The system of claim 10, wherein the processor is further configured to execute the instructions to perform the method including:

generating, by a processor, a matrix of hyperlinks within the search space, each hyperlink having positions within the search space defining a relevance of each hyperlink to the plurality of search parameters.

12. The system of claim 10, wherein the search space includes a perimeter having a plurality of vertices, each vertex of the plurality of vertices representing one of the user elements.

13. The system of claim 10, wherein the weight of each search parameter increases as the distance of the position of the corresponding user element to the center of the search space decreases.

14. The system of claim 10, wherein the search space has a center representing an optimal search output.

15. The system of claim 10, wherein the processor is further configured to execute the instructions to perform the method including:

enabling the user to simultaneously examine multiple data sets; and

searching for an intersection by joining search parameters common to each data set, by joining search spaces of each data set.

16. The system of claim 15, wherein each of the hyperlinks in the matrix of hyperlinks has a weighting that increases in a direction from a perimeter of the search space to the center of the search space.

17. The system of claim 10, wherein the processor is further configured to execute the instructions to perform the method including:

8

transmitting, by the processor to the computer user interface of the user, the plurality of search results and a graphical interface projecting the search space.

18. A non-transitory computer-readable medium storing instructions that, when executed by a computer, cause the computer to perform a method for an interactive graphical search interface, the method comprising:

receiving, from a user via a computer user interface, a plurality of search parameters;

generating, by a processor, a search space that includes a user element corresponding to each search parameter, wherein a weight of each search parameter depends on a distance of a position of the corresponding user element to a center of the search space and one or more distances of the corresponding user element to positions of one or more other user elements, wherein a position of each user element in the search space corresponds to the weight of the respective search parameter, and wherein the plurality of search parameters have an initial predetermined weights that are equal, such that the search space is a radially symmetric shape about optimal search output;

generating, by the processor by accessing a search engine database, a plurality of search results based on the plurality of search parameters and respective weights of each search parameter;

receiving, from the user via the computer user interface, a new position of at least one user element from an interaction by the user with the at least one user element;

generating, by the processor upon receiving the new position of at least one user element, new weights for the plurality of search parameters based on each new position received; and

generating, by the processor by accessing the search engine database, a plurality of new search results based on the plurality of search parameters and the new weights of each respective search parameter.

* * * * *